

Design Excellence Provisions, Short Street, Port Macquarie Proposal Title : **Design Excellence Provisions, Short Street, Port Macquarie** Proposal Summary The proposal seeks to: - apply clause 7.11A Design Excellence of Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 to land on the corner of Short Street and William Street, Port Macquarie; and - include an additional matter of consideration to clause 7.11A Design Excellence of Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 relating to the activation of foreshore frontages. PP Number : PP 2015 PORTM 003_00 Dop File No : 15/11808 **Proposal Details** LGA covered : Port Macquarie-Hastings Date Planning 31-Jul-2015 Proposal Received : RPA : Port Macquarie-Hastings Counci Region : Northern Section of the Act : State Electorate : PORT MACQUARIE 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Policy **Location Details** Street : Suburb : City: Postcode : Land Parcel : Land identified on the Site Identification Map contained in the Planning Proposal (p21) **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : Craig Diss Contact Number : 0267019685 Contact Email : craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : Steve Schwartz Contact Number : 0265818632 Contact Email : steve.schwartz@pmhc.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : N/A N/A Consistent with Strategy : Regional / Sub Mid North Coast Regional Yes **Regional Strategy:** Strategy

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots ;	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	communications and me Region's knowledge. The this proposal, nor has the	ing and Environment's Code of Pr etings with lobbyists has been cor Northern Region has not met wit e Northern Region been advised o d lobbyists concerning the propo	mplied with to the best of the h any lobbyists in relation to f any meeting between other
Have there been	No		
meetings or			
communications with registered lobbyists?			
If Yes, comment :			
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmer	nt	en alle der die seine der alle	easia Bagarran anna Insing a
tatement of the ob	ojectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ol	bjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	relation to changes to	clause 7.11A Design Excellence of the state of the second state of the state of the second state of the se	tention of the Planning Proposal in of Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP e corner of Short Street and William
xplanation of prov	visions provided - s55((2)(b)	
	visions provided - s55(ovisions provided? Yes	(2)(b)	

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

- **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones**
- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.2 Coastal Protection

	3.1 Residential Zones	
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home E	states
	3.3 Home Occupations	
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils	
	4.3 Flood Prone Land	
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies	
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements	
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	
Is the Director Gener	al's agreement required? No	
c) Consistent with Stand	ard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006: Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have th		
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the Mid No Strategy along with relevant SEPPs and section 117 Directions.	rth Coast Regional
Have inconsistencies wi	h items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A	
If No, explain :	No inconsistencies with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy or with	h relevant SEPPs
	and section 117 Directions have been identified.	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Ye	25	
Comment :	While the amended LEP map sheet has not been provided at this stag Proposal includes maps identifying the land that are considered suffi exhibition purposes.	
Community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	The RPA has identified the proposal as being 'low impact' and has ready and has ready and exhibition period. This is considered to be appropriate.	commended a 14
Additional Director (General's requirements	
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of	the proposal	
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are consid	ered to satisfy the
	adequacy criteria by:	
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;	
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the	LEP to achieve the
	outcomes; 3. Broviding an adequate justification for the proposal:	
	 Providing an adequate justification for the proposal; Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and 	
	5. Providing a project time line.	
		ations As the
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making deleg proposal deals with matters of local significance and is considered to	
	relevant policy, it is recommended that an authorisation to exercise in delegations be issued to Council in regard to this matter.	

Design Excellence Provisions, Short Street, Port Macquarie

12 month time frame for completion of the proposal is recommended.

Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in Port Macquarie-Hastings comprehensive LEP 2011 was made in February 2011. relation to Principal LEP: **Assessment Criteria** Need for planning The Planning Proposal is needed to ensure that an appropriate level of detail and proposal : assessment is undertaken in the redevelopment of a prominent foreshore area in the Port Macquarie CBD. A public car park and the former Food For Less building currently occupy the majority of the site on the corner of Short Street and William Street, Port Macquarie. Port Macquarie-Hastings DCP 2011 identifies the subject site for future tourist / retail / civic / maritime redevelopment that will require 'high quality design and care of the public domain'. The DCP also recommends that the site be the subject of an 'architectural design competition' due to the prominent nature of the site. The importance of the site is also identified in the Port Macquarie Foreshore Final Vision and Masterplan (2009) and the Hastings Regional Crown Reserve Precinct A Plan of Management (2014). The proposal will apply the existing design excellence provisions contained in Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011 to the subject land and will ensure these provisions include an appropriate consideration of foreshore frontage activation. Consistency with The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional strategic planning Strategy, applicable SEPPs, relevant section 117 Directions and Council's Director General framework : approved Urban Growth Management Strategy. The proposal will not affect or alter the existing development potential of the lands identified on the Significant Urban Areas Map and will only require additional design excellence consideration of any future development on the subject lands. While Council has nominated a number of section 117 directions, there are no inconsistencies. Some of the land in the proposal is flood-prone and / or within acid sulfate soil management areas. However the proposal does not directly increase development intensity, nor disturb acid sulfate soils and in any case there are flood prone and ASS provisions already existing in Port Macquarie-Hastings LEP 2011. Environmental social The land at the corner of Short Street and William Street, Port Macquarie, is identified as economic impacts : being flood prone, containing acid sulphate soils and partly within a archaeological heritage site. It is considered that the application of the design excellence provisions will not have any significant adverse environmental or economic impacts and will likely have a positive social impact if the future development of the prominent foreshore site is integrated successful with the wider CBD.

ssessment Proces	SS			
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Other			
Is Public Hearing by th	ne PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes		
lf no, provide reasons	As the proposal a Industries - Lands		n Land, it is recommended tha ed.	t the Department of Primary
Resubmission - s56(2))(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reaso	ons :			
No additional studies	are considered nece	ssary to sup	port the proposal.	
Identify any internal co	onsultations, if required	l:		
No internal consultati	ion required			

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Covering Ltr- Sec 56 initial submission to Dept of	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning of Planning ~ Planning Proposal Plaza Car		
Park site.pdf		
Planning Proposal - Plaza Car Park site Short Street	Proposal	Yes
(Amendment 37) Endorsed Edition for Gateway		
Determination.pdf		
2015-07-15 Ordinary Council Item 06.02 - Mayoral	Proposal	Yes
Minute - Plaza Site and Adjoining Lands - Urban		
Design.pdf		
Council resolution Plaza car park site 15 July 2015.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.2 Coastal Protection
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates

	3.3 Home Occupations
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
dditional Information	It is recommended that:
	1. The Planning Proposal be supported;
	2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days;
- NC	3. The Planning Proposal be completed within 12 months;
	4. Council consult with the Department of Primary Industries - Lands; and
	5. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council.
upporting Reasons :	5. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council. The Planning Proposal is supported to ensure that an appropriate level of detail and assessment relating to design excellence and foreshore activation is undertaken in the future redevelopment of a prominent foreshore area in the Port Macquarie CBD.
upporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal is supported to ensure that an appropriate level of detail and assessment relating to design excellence and foreshore activation is undertaken in the
	The Planning Proposal is supported to ensure that an appropriate level of detail and assessment relating to design excellence and foreshore activation is undertaken in the
upporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal is supported to ensure that an appropriate level of detail and assessment relating to design excellence and foreshore activation is undertaken in the